Promoter Swapping Unveils the Role of the *Citrobacter rodentium* CTS1 Type VI Secretion System in Interbacterial Competition
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The type VI secretion system (T6SS) is a versatile secretion machine dedicated to various functions in Gram-negative bacteria, including virulence toward eukaryotic cells and antibacterial activity. Activity of T6SS might be followed *in vitro* by the release of two proteins, Hcp and VgrG, in the culture supernatant. *Citrobacter rodentium*, a rodent pathogen, harbors two T6SS gene clusters, *cts*1 and *cts*2. Reporter fusion and Hcp release assays suggested that the CTS1 T6SS was not produced or not active. The *cts*1 locus is composed of two divergent operons. We therefore developed a new vector allowing us to swap the two divergent endogenous promoters by *P*<sub>lac</sub> and *P*<sub>Bad</sub> using the λ red recombination technology. Artificial induction of both promoters demonstrated that the CTS1 T6SS is functional as shown by the Hcp release assay and confers on *C. rodentium* a growth advantage in antibacterial competition experiments with *Escherichia coli*.

*Citrobacter rodentium* is an extracellular Gram-negative rodent pathogen that causes transmissible colonic hyperplasia in mice (1, 2). It colonizes the lumen of the mouse gut mucosa, causing attaching and effacing (A/E) lesions on the surface of the enterocytes. Its virulence strategy is similar to that of the clinical human pathovar enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (EPEC) and enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) (3). For this reason, *C. rodentium* is a valuable model to study the mechanisms underlying A/E lesions under physiological conditions, with the ability to manipulate both the pathogen and the host (4). The A/E lesions are mediated by the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE) pathogenicity island (5), which encodes the well-characterized type III secretion system (T3SS) and cognate effector proteins (6, 7). The *C. rodentium* ICC168 genome has been recently sequenced (8). Aside from the T3SS, several other secretion machines have been identified, such as two type VI secretion systems (T6SSs) that were named T6SS clusters 1 (CTS1) and 2 (CTS2) (8).

The T6SS is a macromolecular transenvelope machine composed of at least 13 subunits, called core components, required for proper assembly and function of the secretion apparatus (9, 10). Some of these proteins are structurally analogous to that assembling the tail of contractile bacteriophages (11). The secreted T6SS hemolysin-gergulated protein (Hcp), postulated to form a tubelike structure (12), is a structural analogue of gp19, the phage tail tube protein of bacteriophage T4 (13, 14). The yajl-glycine repeat (VgrG) protein, localized to the tip of the Hcp tube (15), may function similarly to the structurally analogous gp5/gp27 complex of bacteriophage T4, forming the spike required for puncturing the targeted cells (13). A recent work revealed that the TssB (VipA) and TssC (VipB) proteins assemble a dynamic structure in the cytoplasm of *Vibrio cholerae* resembling the bacteriophage sheath. Based on this observation, it has been proposed that cycles of extension and contraction of the T6SS sheath propel the Hcp tube toward adjacent target cells prior to effector translocation (16). This inverted tail-like structure is supposed to be anchored to the cell envelope by a membrane complex composed of the TssM, Tssl, and Tss proteins (17–21).

Gene clusters encoding T6SS are widely distributed among proteobacteria, and it is not rare that they are found in several copies on the chromosome (9, 10, 22). A few examples of T6SSs that have been studied are directly responsible for pathogenesis by the injection of toxins into eukaryotic cells that interfere with the cytoskeleton (15, 23–26). In contrast, a growing number of T6SSs are shown to kill target bacterial cells by translocating antibacterial effectors directly into the periplasm or the cytoplasm of the prey upon cell-cell contact (27–33).

In this study, we focused our work on the *Citrobacter rodentium* CTS1 T6SS. CTS1 is composed of two operons organized in opposite orientations, which bear all the genes encoding the T6SS core components (Fig. 1A). These T6SS genes were originally named *cts* followed by a letter (e.g., *ctsV*, *ctsB*, and *ctsG*) (8). However, we adhere to the general nomenclature proposed by Shalom et al. (34). The longest operon contains *tssH* (*clpV*), *tssB*, *tssC*, *tssD* (*hcp*), *tssF*, *tssK*, *tssL*, *tssM*, *tssI* (*vgrG*), and *tssE*. Interestingly, four genes encoding chaperone-usher fimbriae are embedded within this operon, suggesting a probable coproduction of the T6SS and fimbriae (Fig. 1A) (8). The second operon carries the core component genes *tssF*, *tssG*, and *tssA* (Fig. 1A). To assemble a functional T6SS, it is therefore essential that both operons are coexpressed. However, the CTS1 *tssM* gene, formerly named *ctsII* (8), is disrupted by a frameshift. TssM is an inner-membrane protein with a large periplasmic domain. The C terminus of the periplasmic domain interacts with the outer-membrane lipoprotein TssI. This interaction is critical for T6SS function in enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* (20). In *C. rodentium* CTS1, the frameshift muta-
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tion leads to a shorter TssM protein which lacks the C-terminal domain. To test whether the cts1 gene cluster encodes a functional T6SS, we searched for Hcp1 in the culture supernatant. Hcp release assay suggested that the CTS1 T6SS was not produced or not functional. To investigate the functionality of CTS1, we created a genetic tool allowing the simultaneous swapping of the two divergent chromosomal promoters by P\textsubscript{lac} and P\textsubscript{BAD} using \( \alpha \) recombinant. This tool was validated and further demonstrated that CTS1 assembles a functional T6SS that confers a bacterial fitness benefit on \textit{C. rodentium}.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacteria and growth conditions.** Bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. Strains were routinely grown in lysogeny broth (LB) or on LB agar plates (35), supplemented with ampicillin (Amp, 100 \( \mu \)g · ml\(^{-1} \)), kanamycin (Kan, 50 \( \mu \)g · ml\(^{-1} \)), chloramphenicol (Cm, 30 \( \mu \)g · ml\(^{-1} \)), or nalidixic acid (Nal, 20 \( \mu \)g · ml\(^{-1} \)) as required. The \textit{C. rodentium} DB100 isolate used in this work originates from the same stock as the sequenced strain ICC168 (4, 8) and was generously provided by H. Le Moual (McGill University, Montreal, Canada). A spontaneous mutant of \textit{C. rodentium} DB100 resistant to nalidixic acid (20 \( \mu \)g · ml\(^{-1} \)) was obtained by growing DB100 at 37°C in LB medium supplemented with Nal at 5 \( \mu \)g · ml\(^{-1} \) for 7 h before spreading the liquid culture onto LB agar plates supplemented with Nal at 20 \( \mu \)g · ml\(^{-1} \).

**Recombinant DNA methods.** Colony PCR was performed with Taq DNA polymerase with standard Taq buffer (New England BioLabs). PCR fragments used for plasmid construction and \( \lambda \) red technology were amplified with Phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific). Phusion-generated fragments and final constructs were verified by DNA plasmid amplification with Phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific). (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). The PCR product was then amplified by PCR with the pKD13-ptac\#1 and pKD13-ptac\#2 primers (20°C, 9 min). The resulting plasmid, carrying the oligonucleotides for a second PCR using pRL127 as a template. In the resulting plasmid, designated pRL128, the P\textsubscript{lac} and P\textsubscript{BAD} promoters are in divergent orientations and flank the two FRT sites (Fig. 2). pRL127 and pRL128 were verified by restriction to check the presence of the inserts at the desired positions and orientations. Inserts were sequenced. pRL128 was made available with the Addgene plasmid library (deposited under accession number 40180).

**Construction of the complementation vector expressing the tssM gene (pRL39) is described in the supplemental material.**

**Strain construction.** The construction of the \textit{C. rodentium} strain in which the CTS1 promoter region is swapped with the P\textsubscript{lac} and P\textsubscript{BAD} promoters in divergent orientations was achieved by following the PCR-based method of Datsenko and Wanner (38) using pRL128 as the template, yielding strain RLC55 (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). The Delta\textit{tssM1} and Delta\textit{lacZ} isogenic mutant strains were generated using pKD13 (38). The chromosomal Lac\textit{Z} translational fusions were generated using plasmid pFUSE (39). Details regarding these constructions can be found in the supplemental material.

**Isolation of extracellular proteins (Hcp release assay).** \textit{C. rodentium} was grown to an optical density at 600 nm (OD\textsubscript{600}) of 0.8 in LB medium at 37°C. A total of 2 \( \times \) 10\(^{10} \) cells were harvested by centrifugation at 2,000 \\( \times \) g for 5 min and resuspended in denaturing loading buffer. When required, gene expression was induced by addition of isopropyl-\( \beta \)-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG; 500 \( \mu \)M) and/or arabinose (0.2%) for 30 min. The supernatant was subjected to centrifugation at 20,000 \\( \times \) g for 15 min, and extracellular proteins were precipitated by addition of trichloroacetic acid (TCA; 15% final concentration) for at least 2 h on ice. Precipitated proteins were recovered by centrifugation at 20,000 \\( \times \) g for 45 min. Pellets were washed with 1 ml acetone, air dried, and resuspended in denaturing loading buffer. Proteins were separated by electrophoresis on denaturing 15% acrylamide gels and visualized by Coomassie blue staining. The bands from the supernatant fraction of the RLC55 \textit{C. rodentium} strain were excised and subjected to in-gel trypsin digestion followed by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (Proteomic Facility, CNRS, Marseille, France).

**Antibacterial competition assay.** The wild-type (WT) \textit{E. coli} strain W3110 bearing the pUA66-\textit{rrnB} plasmid (Kan\textsuperscript{r} [40]) was used as prey in the competition assay. The pUA66-\textit{rrnB} plasmid provides a strong constitutive green fluorescent (GFP\textsuperscript{+}) phenotype to \textit{E. coli}. Cells were grown in LB at 37°C to an OD\textsubscript{600} of 1, normalized to an OD\textsubscript{600} of 0.5, and mixed to a 4:1 ratio (predator to prey). Then, 25 \( \mu \)l of the mixture was spotted in triplicate onto a prewarmed dry agar plate supplemented or not with 2% arabinose and 500 \( \mu \)M IPTG (this high concentration of arabinose was used to circumvent the catabolism of this sugar by \textit{C. rodentium} and \textit{E. coli}).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The C. rodentium CTS1 T6SS is inactive under laboratory conditions. The release of the Hcp protein in the culture supernatant is a hallmark feature of functional T6SSs. Hcp is an ~20-kDa protein assembling the extracellular portion of the T6SS apparatus. Hcp is usually abundantly produced and accumulates in the medium when T6SS expression is activated. To test whether the C. rodentium CTS1 T6SS is functional under laboratory conditions, we attempted to detect the presence of the CTS1 Hcp (Hcp1) protein in the supernatant. Whereas samples were harvested in exponential and stationary phases of cells grown under various conditions, including rich (LB) and minimal (M9 and M63) media, we did not detect Hcp1 in the culture supernatant (data not shown and Fig. 3A, lanes 1 and 2). We hypothesize that (i) the CTS1 T6SS gene operons were not expressed under the various conditions tested or (ii) the CTS1 T6SS is not functional because of the frameshift mutation in the tssM1 gene previously reported (8). Translational fusions in which the promoters of tssF1 (cts1C) and clpV1 (cts1V) control the expression of the reporter lacZ gene were constructed. Comparison of β-galactosidase activities of the RLC11 (ΔlacZ), RLC15 (ctsF1-lacZ), and RLC16 (clpV1-lacZ) strains demonstrated that these promoters were silent (data not shown). It is noteworthy that the production of T6SS is often repressed under standard laboratory conditions in many bacterial species (41, 42). Many regulatory mechanisms have been described so far, and it will be interesting to identify the proteins controlling the expression of the cts1 gene cluster using transposon mutagenesis and the lacZ reporter translational fusions, as done previously for the Sci-1 T6SS of enteroaggregative E. coli (43). To discriminate between the two hypotheses raised above, we decided to artificially induce the expression of the chromosomally encoded T6SS gene operons. However, to circumvent the difficulty of the C. rodentium CTS1 T6SS being encoded on two divergent operons, we constructed a plasmid template allowing us to engineer a strain in which the endogenous intergenic region has been swapped for two divergent and inducible promoters.

Construction and validation of pRL128, a new pKD13 derivative vector for divergent promoter swapping. Several studies reported chromosomal promoter swapping with inducible promoters. Promoter replacement has been shown to be a successful approach to characterize the function of genes, including those for which the expression is modulated by a feedback mechanism (44, 45), or to control the expression of a given gene in order to change the metabolic flux and thus optimize the production of a biologic compound (46). Recently, a promoter replacement tool based on a SacB suicide plasmid carrying $P_{\text{lac}}$ was described and employed to modulate the expression of the pil locus gene, encoding
a type IVb pilus in Pseudomonas aeruginosa (47). However, this method requires cloning steps and selection of double recombination events with the sacB counterselection marker, which can be time-consuming. However, none of the template plasmids or techniques allowed the quick exchange of two divergent chromosomal promoters for inducible promoters in opposite orientations (reviewed in reference 48). Our promoter swapping strategy was based on the one-step gene modification technology allowing short template recombination of electroporated PCR products with the chromosome by the λ red proteins. We constructed a pKD13-derived plasmid, named pRL128, allowing swapping of chromosomal endogenous divergent promoters by $P_{\text{lac}}$ and $P_{\text{BAD}}$ promoters (see Materials and Methods). pRL128 bears on either side of the Kan$^R$ cassette flank by the FLP recognition target sites the $P_{\text{lac}}$ and $P_{\text{BAD}}$ promoters oriented in opposite directions (Fig. 2). The pRL128 plasmid was constructed from pRL127, a pKD13 derivative carrying the $P_{\text{lac}}$ promoter and the lacO operator, adjacent to the Kan$^R$ cassette and an FRT sequence. pRL127 is very similar to pKE2, a pKD4-derived vector designed in a promoter swapping strategy in order to analyze the function of the PhoU phosphate regulatory protein in E. coli (45). pRL128 has the advantage of carrying both promoters $P_{\text{lac}}$ and $P_{\text{BAD}}$ flanking the Kan$^R$ cassette and the FRT sequences. Depending on the primers designed for the PCR, pRL128 can thus be used as a template to insert either $P_{\text{lac}}$ or $P_{\text{BAD}}$ or, if required, both promoters in opposite directions, anywhere into the chromosome.

Using pRL128 as the template, we constructed the RLC55 strain, in which the expression of the genes of the longest operon, including tssH1 (ctsIV), hcp1, and tssM1 (ctsII), is under the control of the $P_{\text{BAD}}$ promoter. The shortest operon, including tssF1 (ctsIC), is under the control of the $P_{\text{lac}}$ promoter (Fig. 1B).

The inducible C. rodentium CTS1 gene operons encode a functional T6SS. To test whether the CTS1 gene operons encode a functional T6SS, we assayed for Hcp secretion under inducible conditions. Since gene expressions from $P_{\text{lac}}$ and $P_{\text{BAD}}$ are, respectively, activated in the presence of IPTG and arabinose, RLC55 was grown in LB supplemented with 0.2% (wt/vol) arabinose and 500 μM IPTG. These concentrations of arabinose and IPTG were used to maximize the level of expression of the T6SS genes. The wild-type, nonengineered strain RLC2 and a RLC55 derivative carrying a deletion of the tssM1 gene (i.e., a gene previously shown to be critical for T6SS function [21, 49, 50]) were used as controls. Figure 3A shows that while no protein is found in the culture supernatant of the wild-type RLC2 strain, three proteins with apparent molecular masses of 20, 16, and 10 kDa are released from RLC55. MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry analyses demonstrated that the three bands corresponded to the Hcp1 protein (ROD_27741; accession number NC_013716). While peptides corresponding to the C terminus of Hcp1 were not identified in the protein of the lower band, mass spectrometry analysis did not detect any truncation of Hcp that could explain the difference of migration that is observed in the SDS-PAGE gel for the two upper bands. However, an internal peptide was not recovered in the upper band, suggesting that a posttranslational modification occurred on this fragment. Identification of the putative posttranslational modification is under investigation. These bands were not observed in the culture supernatant of the ΔtssM strain (Fig. 3A), suggesting that Hcp1 is released in a CTS1-dependent manner. The presence of Hcp1 confirmed that the CTS1 T6SS is functional despite the frameshift mutation in the tssM1 gene (8). Using PCR amplification of the tssM1 gene and sequencing, we confirmed that it encodes a truncated form (data not shown). However, the tssM1 nucleotide sequence has a stretch of 11 adenoses upstream of its stop codon. Because polyadenosines tracks may influence transcription by RNA polymerase slippage (51), it will be interesting to determine whether the truncated form of TssM is functional or whether transcriptional frameshifting occurs in vivo.

To test whether CTS1 function requires both operons, the Hcp release assay was repeated in the absence of arabinose (Fig. 3B, lanes 3 and 4) or of IPTG (Fig. 3B, lanes 5 and 6). As shown in Fig. 3B, both inductions with IPTG and arabinose are required for efficient Hcp1 release, suggesting that proteins encoded by the two operons are necessary to assemble a functional T6SS.

**CTS1 confers a growth advantage on C. rodentium.** Since Hcp is released from RLC55 cells, we hypothesized that the CTS1 T6SS is functional and that it could achieve its function, so far undetermined. The T6SS has been shown to be versatile in function and suggested to be adapted to the specific needs of each bacterium. So far, while a few T6SSs are required for full virulence toward eukaryotic cells (52–54), most T6SSs display an antibacterial activity able to kill or prevent the growth of competitor bacteria (32). We therefore performed several assays. Using the worm...
Caenorhabditis elegans, we first observed that the CTS1 T6SS did not confer reduced or increased virulence on C. rodentium (data not shown). We therefore tested whether CTS1 confer a growth advantage in an interspecies competition assay. The growth competition experiments were conducted between C. rodentium strains as predators and a fluorescent E. coli K-12 strain as prey. Control experiments showed that RLC2, RLC55, its ΔtssM1 derivative, the ΔtssM1 complemented C. rodentium, and the E. coli strains used here have similar growth behaviors and similar generation times. The predator and the prey were mixed and incubated onto an LB agar plate overnight at 30°C. The outcome was then determined by measuring the level of fluorescence and the number of surviving E. coli cells (Fig. 4). C. rodentium cells grown with a nonfluorescent E. coli strain displayed a basal, low fluorescence level at about 12,000 AU (Fig. 4A, top). In the absence of inducers, fluorescence levels of the mixes were comparable to those in a control experiment in which the fluorescent E. coli strain was mixed with the nonfluorescent E. coli strain (~60,000 AU). This indicated that WT C. rodentium does not prevent the growth of E. coli. This was confirmed by CFU counts to measure the survival of the E. coli strain (Fig. 4A, bottom). The number of fluorescent E. coli cells surviving after overnight incubation with C. rodentium was similar to that mixed with the nonfluorescent E. coli. From these data, we concluded that the CTS1 T6SS does not harbor an antibacterial activity or that the expression of the CTS1 gene operons is not induced by direct contact with prey cells. The experiment was then repeated in the presence of both arabinose and IPTG (Fig. 4B). Under these conditions, a 7-fold decrease of the fluorescence level and a 12-fold decrease of survival were observed for the E. coli cells cocultivated with the CTS1 tssM1 derivative and the complemented ΔtssM1 strain. This decrease was due to the controlled expression of a functional CTS1 T6SS, since the RLC55 ΔtssM1 derivative did not confer a growth advantage on C. rodentium. This result indicates the CTS1 T6SS confers a growth advantage on C. rodentium. The role of T6SS for competition toward neighboring bacterial cells has already been made evident in several Gram-negative bacteria, including P. aeruginosa, V. cholerae, and Serratia marcescens (27–29). This result also suggests that the CTS1 T6SS delivers effector proteins that carry antibacterial activities to the prey. A few T6SS effectors have been described so far. In P. aeruginosa, two enzymes, Tse1 and Tse3, are translocated to the periplasm of the recipient cell and target the peptidoglycan (27, 30, 55), while a third effector, Tse2, has been described, but its activity and its molecular target are still unknown (27). It is noteworthy that a superfamily of T6SS effectors with amidase activity was recently identified in various organisms using a heuristic approach (31). None of these effectors are encoded on the C. rodentium genome, suggesting that CTS1 translocates an effector(s) with a novel ac-
tivity. Further experiments will be performed to identify proteins delivered by the CTS1 T6SS.
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